Claim Number: 9869

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	ty.  No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9870

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents c	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9871

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
□ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9872

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
-	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents o	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9873

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	y. $\square$ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
-	o indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$\square$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building a	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9874

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	.y. ☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$\square$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9875

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents c	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9876

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	y.  □ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
-	o indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$\square$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building a	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9877

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	.y. ☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$\square$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9878

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
□ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\square$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9879

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	ty.  No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9880

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
-	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents o	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9881

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.   No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9882

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim: □	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
•	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents of	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents of	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9883

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	.y. ☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$\square$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9884

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the prope	Ty. ☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
<del>_</del>	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documen	ts concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documen	ts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9885

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
-	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents o	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9886

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
-	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents o	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9887

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	ty.  No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9888

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

✓ Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
□ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9889

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

☑ Category 1 CI	laim: □(	Category 1 Comments:
16. Do		lating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
		☐ No documents were provided.
		✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	hey fail to d he building	emonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in
		oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	e property.	☐ No documents were provided.
		☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
C		ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Do	cuments co	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Do	ocuments co	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
b	ouilding air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9890

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim: □	Category 1 Comments:
	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of	
the property.	$\square$ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents c	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9891

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
-	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents o	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9892

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
-	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents o	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9893

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	ty.  No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9895

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	.y. ☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$\square$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9896

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	ty.  No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9897

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents c	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9908

Claimant: HYATT CORPORATION ETC,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents c	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9909

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	ty.  No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9910

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
-	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents o	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9911

Claimant: ANDERSON MEMORIAL HOSPITAL,

☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
✓ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9914

Claimant: ANDERSON MEMORIAL HOSPITAL,

☑ Category 1 Cl	laim: □(	Category 1 Comments:
16. Do		lating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
		☐ No documents were provided.
		✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	hey fail to d he building.	emonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in
		oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	e property.	☐ No documents were provided.
		☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
C		ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Do	cuments co	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Do	ocuments co	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
k	ouilding air s	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 9915

Claimant: HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION ETC,

☑ Category 1 CI	laim: □(	Category 1 Comments:
16. Do		lating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
		☐ No documents were provided.
		✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	hey fail to d he building	emonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in
		oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	e property.	☐ No documents were provided.
		☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
C		ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Do	cuments co	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Do	ocuments co	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
b	ouilding air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10189

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

✓ Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
□ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10190

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail the build	to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ling.
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	ty.  □ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	ts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.  ☐ No documents were provided.  ☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10191

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

✓ Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
□ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10192

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

✓ Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.   No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\square$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10193

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

☑ Category 1 Cl	laim: □(	Category 1 Comments:
16. Do		lating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
		☐ No documents were provided.
		✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	hey fail to d he building.	emonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in
		oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	e property.	☐ No documents were provided.
		☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
C		ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Do	cuments co	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Do	ocuments co	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
k	ouilding air s	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10194

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.  No documents were provided.
☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10195

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

✓ Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
□ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10196

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.  No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
✓ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10197

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.  No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
✓ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10198

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

☑ Category 1 Cl	laim: □(	Category 1 Comments:
16. Do		lating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
		☐ No documents were provided.
		✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	hey fail to d he building.	emonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in
		oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	e property.	☐ No documents were provided.
		☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
C		ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Do	cuments co	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Do	ocuments co	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
k	ouilding air s	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10199

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
✓ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10200

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail the build	to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ling.
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	Ty. ☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the .
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10201

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Z Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
☐ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.  No documents were provided.
☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  V No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10202

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.  No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
✓ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10203

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.  No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
✓ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10204

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

✓ Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
□ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10205

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.  No documents were provided.
☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10206

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail the build	to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ling.
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	ty. □ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the .
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10209

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

✓ Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
□ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10210

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documen	ts relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fai the buil	to demonstrate the a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ding.
	ts concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	rty. □ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the ent, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the /.
22. Documen	ts concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documer	ts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.  ☐ No documents were provided.  ☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10211

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

☑ Category 1 Cl	laim: □(	Category 1 Comments:
16. Do		lating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
		☐ No documents were provided.
		✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	hey fail to d he building.	emonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in
		oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	e property.	☐ No documents were provided.
		☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
C		ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Do	cuments co	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Do	ocuments co	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
k	ouilding air s	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10212

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

✓ Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
☐ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace-asbestos containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.   No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  ✓ No documents were provided.  ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10213

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

☑ Category 1 Cl	laim: □(	Category 1 Comments:
16. Do		lating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
		☐ No documents were provided.
		✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	hey fail to d he building.	emonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in
		oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	e property.	☐ No documents were provided.
		☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
C		ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Do	cuments co	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Do	ocuments co	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.  No documents were provided.  Documents provided are insufficient because:
k	ouilding air s	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10214

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.  No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
✓ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10215

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
□ No documents were provided.
·
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
✓ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\square$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10216

Claimant: THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.  No documents were provided.
☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10509

Claimant: HARRAH`S RESORT HOTEL COMPLEX,

☑ Category 1 Cla	<u>m:</u> □ Category 1 Comments:	
16. Doc	iments relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the proper	rty
	☐ No documents were provided.	
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:	
	ey fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually he building.	/
	ments concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos	in
the property.	oroperty.   No documents were provided.	
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:	
de	ey fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the cument, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the operty.	
22. Doo	ments concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product  ✓ No documents were provided.  ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:	t.
26. Doo	ments concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.  ☐ No documents were provided.  ☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:	
bı	ilding air sample results were not included.	

Claim Number: 10510

Claimant: CONCORD HOSPITAL,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	τy. □ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10511

Claimant: WICHITA MUNICIPAL AIRPORT,

☑ Category 1 Claim: □	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	$\square$ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbest	
the property.	$\square$ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
•	indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents of	concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents of	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10512

Claimant: WESLEY HOSPITAL ADDITION,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	τy. □ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10513

Claimant: MERCHANT`S NATIONAL BANK,

☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
$\Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.   No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.   No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10514

Claimant: MACEY`S INDIAN SPRINGS SHOPPING CENTER,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	τy. □ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10515

Claimant: JENSEN SALSRER LAE ADDITION,

☑ Category 1 Claim: □	Category 1 Comments:
	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbe	
the property.	$\square$ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents c	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10516

Claimant: FOXRIDGE OFFICE BUILDING,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	τy. ☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
•	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.  No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 10517

Claimant: FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING,

☑ Category 1 Claim: □	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents r	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbe	
the property.	$\square$ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents of	concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents of	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.